

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,776	04/02/2004	Wen-Kwei Chang	FP10100	8173	
52981 .75	590 07/08/2005		EXAMINER		
LEONG C LE	LEI		GALL, L	GALL, LLOYD A	
PMB # 1008			·		
1867 YGNACI	1867 YGNACIO VALLEY ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WALNUT CRE	EEK, CA 94598		3676		

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		150					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/815,776	CHANG, WEN-KWEI				
Office Act	ion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lloyd A. Gall	3676				
The MAILING D Period for Reply	ATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply specific - If NO period for reply is spec - Failure to reply within the set	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 the mailing date of this communication. ed above is less than thirty (30) days, a replyified above, the maximum statutory period to rextended period for reply will, by statute fice later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro a, cause the application to become ABANDON g date of this communication, even if timely file	timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to o	communication(s) filed on 21 A	pril 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FI		action is non-final.					
3) Since this applic	<u></u>						
closed in accord	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and (</u>	4) Claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are rejected.						
5) Claim(s)							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and (</u>							
7) Claim(s)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification	is objected to by the Examine	er.					
· <u> </u>)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
· / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
		kaminer. Note the attached Office	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119						
12) Acknowledgmen		priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	copies of the priority document						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s have been received in Applica	<u> </u>				
· · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	rity documents have been recei	ved in this National Stage				
	n from the International Bureau		wad				
See the attached	detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	7ea.				
Attachment(s)			!				
1) X Notice of References Cite		4) 🔲 Interview Summa					
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) [_] Information Disclosure Sta Paper No(s)/Mail Date	atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	6) Other:	r dient Application (FTO-132)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The amended paragraph to page 6, line 18 to page 7, line 1 is objected to, since in lines 2-3 of this paragraph, "hole 2" should read --plate 2--, and "plate 21" should read --hole 21--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 5, lines 3-4, there is no antecedent basis for "said key hole". See also the occurrences in claim 5, lines 6 and 8. Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steinbach in view of Heinz.

Steinbach teaches an inner shaft body 28 and 24 having a keyhole 28A and a protrusion 28D which is received in a recess 18D of an anti-drill plate 18, the plate 18 having a non-circular through hole 18A matching the keyhole 28A, wherein the protrusion 28D is capable of fracturing upon exposure by a drill to the plate 18. As seen in figs. 9-11 of Steinbach, the material from which the protrusion 28D is comprised is weaker than the material of the drill plate 18. Heinz teaches a plate 34 having a protrusion 37 which extends through a key-insertion hole 33 of an adjacent plate 30. It

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute a protrusion which extends through the keyhole 18A of the anti-drill plate 18 of Steinbach for the protrusion 28D of Steinbach, in view of the teaching of Heinz, the motivation being that the separately formed recesses 18D of Steinbach would not be required. With respect to claim 6, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the claimed quantitative value, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5 and 6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/815,776 Page 4

Art Unit: 3676

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lloyd A. Gall whose telephone number is 571-272-7056. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on 571-272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LG LG July 05, 2005

Primary Examiner

ed a. Nale